



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/499,693	02/08/2000	Insu Lee	00120/P-4858	1622
7590	09/09/2004		EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE, LLP P.O. BOX 2168 MENLO PARK, CA 94026			MITCHELL, GREGORY W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/499,693	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory W Mitchell	1617

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: ____.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuing Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 26-45.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 

10. Other: _____.

SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

5. Applicant's amendments do not put the instant application in condition for allowance nor do the amendments overcome Examiner's rejection of May 6, 2004. Examiner suggested in the May 6, 2004 office action that Applicant amend the claims to read on an invention which is "actually a composition comprising flaxseed oil ... [i]f this is actually Applicant's invention." Applicant has done so, but Applicant has neglected to address the stipulation upon which Examiner conditioned her suggestion. Applicant failed to show that "flaxseed oil itself does not contain a ratio of linoleic to linolenic acid, as recited in the instant claims, since a compound and its properties are inseparable." The art suggests that flaxseed oil does in fact possess a ratio of linoleic to linolenic acid in a value within the range of the claimed invention. Accordingly, a food bar taught by Leach et al. (USPN 5612074) would encompass Applicant's invention when comprising 1) flaxseed as the solid oil seed containing polyunsaturated linoleic acid as well as the oil seed containing superunsaturated alpha-linolenic acid; and 2) a vegetable oil containing superunsaturated alpha-linolenic acid, wherein the polyunsaturated linoleic acid is present in a ratio of 3:1 by weight to superunsaturated alpha-linolenic acid. Furthermore, since the ratio of linoleic to linolenic acid in the flaxseed oil is within the range of the instant claimed invention, flaxseeds themselves would appear to read upon the currently amended claims.



SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER